Environmental Protection Agency

the area's modeling continued to demonstrate attainment without the additional NO_X reductions required by section 182(f). The State's request is based on new photochemical modeling which shows the need for NO_X controls to help the area attain the ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards. Furthermore, EPA would not and could not approve the earlier attainment demonstration SIP modeling upon which the condition was based.

- (1) On June 21, 1999, the conditional NO_X exemption for the DFW area granted on November 21, 1994 is rescinded. Upon rescission, the Federal requirements pertaining to NO_X Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT), New Source Review, vehicle Inspection/Maintenance, general and transportation conformity now apply.
- (2) The NO_X RACT final compliance date must be implemented as expeditiously as practicable, but no later than March 31, 2001.

[59 FR 60714, Nov. 28, 1994, as amended at 60 FR 5867, Jan. 31, 1995; 60 FR 19522, Apr. 19, 1995; 62 FR 28349, May 23, 1997; 63 FR 7072, Feb. 12, 1998; 64 FR 19286, Apr. 20, 1999]

§ 52.2309 Emissions inventories.

- (a) The Governor of the State of Texas submitted the 1990 base year emission inventories for the Houston/Galveston (HGA), Beaumont/Port Arthur (BPA), El Paso (ELP), and Dallas/Fort Worth (DFW) ozone nonattainment areas on November 17, 1992 as a revision to the State Implementation Plan (SIP). The 1990 base year emission inventory requirement of section 182(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990, has been satisfied for each of these areas.
- (b) The inventories are for the ozone precursors which are volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxides, and carbon monoxide. The inventories cover point, area, non-road mobile, on-road mobile, and biogenic sources.
- (c) The HGA nonattainment area is classified as Severe-17 and includes Brazoria, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery, and Waller Counties; the BPA nonattainment area is classified as Serious and includes Hardin, Jefferson, and Orange Counties; the ELP nonattainment area is classified as Serious and in-

cludes El Paso County; and the DFW nonattainment area is classified as Moderate and includes Collin, Dallas, Denton, and Tarrant Counties.

- (d) The Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission submitted State Implementation Plan revisions to the 1990 base year emission inventory for the Beaumont/Port Arthur area with a cover letter from the Governor of Texas dated August 9, 1996.
- (e) The Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission submitted a revision to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) on August 9, 1996. This revision was submitted for the purpose of satisfying the 15% Rate-of-Progress requirements of the Clean Air Act, which will aid in ensuring the attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for ozone. This submittal also contained revisions to the 1990 base year emissions inventories for the Dallas/Fort Worth, El Paso and Houston/Galveston areas.
- (f) The Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission submitted a revision to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) on May 19, 2000. This revision was submitted for the purpose of satisfying the 9 percent Rate-of-Progress requirements of the Clean Air Act, which will aid in ensuring the attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for ozone. This submission also contained revisions to the 1990 base year emissions inventory for the Houston/Galveston areas.

[59 FR 55589, Nov. 8, 1994, as amended at 63 FR 6663, Feb. 10, 1998; 63 FR 62947, Nov. 10, 1998; 66 FR 20751, Apr. 25, 2001]

§ 52.2311 Motor vehicle antitampering.

The State of Texas submitted revisions to the State Implementation Plan for 30 TAC Chapter 114, sections 114.1 "Maintenance and Operation of Air Pollution Control Systems or Devices Used to Control Emissions from Motor Vehicles" and 114.5 "Exclusions and Exceptions" on February 24, 1989, and September 6, 1990, and July 13, 1993. The EPA disapproved these revisions that relate to Statewide antitampering and exemptions provisions antitampering provisions for motor vehicles or motor vehicle engine emission control systems because the State's antitampering rules are not consistent

§ 52.2320

with the Act, section 203(a)(3) and EPA's tampering prohibitions as outlined in EPA's antitampering enforcement policy, Mobile Source Enforcement Memorandum No. 1A.

[63 FR 6653, Feb. 10, 1998]

Subpart TT—Utah

§52.2320 Identification of plan.

- (a) Title of plan: "Utah Implementation Plan."
- (b) The plan was officially submitted on January 25, 1972.
- (c) The plan revisions listed below were submitted on the dates specified.
- (1) Clarifications of the plan relating to particulate regulations, CO and NO_2 control strategies, new source review, emergency episodes, availability of emission data, and source surveillance submitted May 18, 1972, by State Division of Health.
- (2) Revision of State new source review regulation, section 1.3.3 of the Utah Code of Air Conservation Regulations, submitted on September 13, 1972, by the Governor.
- (3) Transportation control plan submitted April 13, 1973, by the Governor.
- (4) Reenacted legislation providing for public availability of emission data submitted on June 13, 1974, by the State Division of Health.
- (5) The Revised Utah Air Conservation Regulations on July 10, 1975, by the Governor.
- (6) Provisions to meet the requirements of Part D and other sections of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977, were submitted on December 28, 1978, by the Governor.
- (7) On November 5, 1979, the Governor submitted a plan revision to meet the requirements of Air Quality Monitoring, 40 CFR part 58, subpart C, §58.20.
- (8) Provisions to meet the transportation control requirements of Part D and other sections of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977, were submitted on November 5, 1979, and August 11, 1980, by the Governor.
- (9) Provisions to meet the requirements of Part D for particulates and to attain the national standard for lead were submitted on March 11, 1980, July 25, 1980, November 13, 1980, December 26, 1980, and April 8, 1981.

- (10) Provisions to meet the requirements of Part C of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977, were submitted on August 17, 1981.
- (11) Provisions to meet the requirements of section 127 and Part D for carbon monoxide and ozone were submitted on August 11, 1980.
- (12) Provisions to meet the requirements of Part D of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977, for particulates and volatile organic compounds, were submitted on April 8, 1981.
- (13) Provisions to meet the requirements of Part D of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977, for particulates were submitted on March 1, 1982.
- (14) A revision to the definition of volatile organic compound was submitted on April 29, 1982.
- (15) Provisions to meet the requirements of Part D of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977, for carbon monoxide in Provo and Ogden, Utah were submitted on September 20, 1982.
- (16) Additional information regarding stack monitoring at the main stack at the Kennecott Copper Smelter in Salt Lake City was submitted on December 27, 1982, and February 3, 1984.
- (17) Provision to meet the requirements of Part D of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1977 providing for implementing automobile inspection and maintenance in Salt Lake and Davis Counties were submitted on December 9, 1983, December 19, 1983, February 6, 1984, and March 1, 1984. A revision providing for the commitment to adopt regulations for VOC sources covered by future CTG's (Group III) was submitted on February 6, 1984.
- (18) A revision to the SIP was submitted by the Governor for attainment of the SO₂ standard on August 17, 1981. Additional submittals January 25, 1983, and September 5, 1984.
- (19) A revision to the SIP was submitted by the Governor on April 26, 1985, for visibility monitoring and new source review.
 - (i) Incorporation by reference.
- (A) Letter dated April 26, 1985, from Governor Norman Bangerter submitting the Utah Visibility SIP and Regulations.
- (B) The Visibility SIP and the Utah Air Conservation Regulations 1.1.7 and